FAST FACTS

NDTAC's Fast Facts Web pages present national and State longitudinal data on funding, program types, and student demographics for children and youth who are neglected, delinquent or at-risk and enrolled in Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 and Subpart 2 programs. For State data on academic and vocational outcomes and preposttest assessment results, visit eddataexpress.ed.gov or edoatoriognation-download Nebraska's CSPR.


NEBRASKA: SUBPART 2

I. Funding, Programs, and Students

Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 provides supplemental funds for education programs for youth who are neglected, delinquent or at-risk. Subpart 2 funds are awarded directly to State education agencies, which then award subgrants to local education agencies.

Funding Allocation, Number of Programs and Students Served


Program Information	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14						
Subpart 2 Funding									
United States	\$141,743,122	\$134,843,288	\$123,153,455						
Nebraska	\$595,495	\$565,448	\$510,317						
Number of Programs									
United States	2,489	2,525	2,226						
Nebraska	5	5	5						
Number of Students S	Served								
United States	329,280	311,503	298,182						
Nebraska	2,418	2,227	1,892						



II. Program Types

States may use Subpart 2 funds to assist educational programs for youth who are neglected and programs for youth in juvenile detention, juvenile corrections, and at-risk programs.

Programs for youth who are neglected serve youth placed in public or private residential facilities due to abandonment, neglect, or the death of their parents or guardians. Programs for youth who are delinquent serve youth in public or private institutions (e.g., detention or corrections facilities) who have been adjudicated delinquent or who are otherwise in need of supervision. At-risk programs target students who are at risk of academic failure, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, have limited English proficiency, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school.



Student Participation by Program Type


Dragram Tymas			Nebr	aska		United States						
Program Types	2011	2011-12 2012-13		2-13	2013-14		2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
At-Risk Programs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	54,765	17%	49,327	16%	50,039	17%
Neglected Programs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	27,362	8%	26,454	8%	29,100	10%
Juvenile Detention	2,418	100%	2,227	100%	1,892	100%	187,474	57%	179,244	58%	159,281	53%
Juvenile Corrections	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	56,942	17%	54,839	18%	56,785	19%
Other Programs	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2,737	1%	1,639	1%	2,977	1%

III. Students Served

All State education agencies must provide data to the U.S. Department of Education (ED) on the students served in Part D programs, including information on gender, race/ethnicity, age, and disability and Limited English Proficiency (LEP) status.

Demographics

Nationally, in school year (SY) 2013–14, the majority (84 percent) of students benefitting from Title I, Part D funds in local education agency programs were between the ages of 14 and 18, followed by 11–13–year–olds (9 percent), 19–21–year–olds (4 percent) and students under the age of 11 (3 percent). Students were predominantly male (73 percent). These percentages reflect the national trends in age and gender also observed in SY 2011–12 and 2012–13. The racial/ethnic makeup of students in Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 in SY 2013–14 across the nation and in Nebraska is presented below.




Student Participation by Race/Ethnicity

Dane (Falleria in.		Nebraska							United States					
Race/Ethnicity	201	1-12	201	2-13	2013	3-14	2011	-12	2012	-13	2013	-14		
American Indian or Alaska Native	107	4%	77	3%	72	4%	7,487	2%	7,099	2%	6,563	2%		
Asian	20	1%	14	1%	14	1%	3,607	1%	2,915	1%	2,513	1%		
Black or African American	670	28%	585	26%	557	29%	112,136	34%	108,730	35%	105,308	35%		
Hispanic or Latino	419	17%	440	20%	313	17%	83,308	25%	75,869	24%	73,734	25%		
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0	0%	4	0%	0	0%	1,679	1%	6,974	2%	972	0%		
White	1,126	47%	995	45%	813	43%	111,750	34%	101,121	32%	99,424	33%		
Two or More Races	76	3%	112	5%	123	7%	7,730	2%	8,513	3%	9,219	3%		
Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1,564	0%	91	0%	0	0%		
Total	2,418	100%	2,227	100%	1,892	100%	329,261	100%	311,312	100%	297,733	100%		

States may not have provided racial/ethnic data for every student. Therefore, the number of students by race/ethnicity may be lower than the number of students served. Due to rounding, percentages may not total to 100%.

Student Subgroups

As of SY 2012–13, States began reporting data on the number of students with disabilities and the number of students who have LEP. The identification of these students should align with the State classification for Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) for students with disabilities and with Title III of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act for students who have LEP.




Student Participation by Subgroup

Student Subgroups		Nebr	aska		United States					
Student Subgroups	2012	2-13	2013	3-14	2012	2-13	201	3-14		
Students with Disabilities	488	22%	427	23%	54,600	18%	71,680	24%		
LEP Students	24	1%	12	1%	5,496	2%	19,564	7%		

IV. Academic Outcomes

All State education agencies must report on a series of academic and vocational outcomes attained by students enrolled in programs that receive Title I, Part D funds. The figures below feature four of the key performance measures: earning high school course credits, obtaining a high school diploma, enrolling in a GED program and earning a GED. These figures reflect the percentage of age-eligible students who attain these outcomes while enrolled in, or shortly after leaving, an educational program funded by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.


Academic Outcomes Achieved While in Facility



Academic Outcomes Achieved While in Facility

Academic Outcomes		Nebr	aska		United States				
Academic Outcomes	2012	2-13	2013	3-14	2012	-13	2013	-14	
Earned High School Course Credits (ages 13-21)	1,027	47%	805	44%	141,055	48%	119,012	46%	
Obtained High School Diploma (ages 16-21)	8	1%	14	1%	6,673	4%	6,731	4%	
Enrolled in a GED Program (ages 14-21)	25	1%	10	1%	14,516	5%	11,230	4%	
Earned a GED (ages 16-21)	4	0%	6	0%	4,908	3%	3,638	2%	

Academic Outcomes Achieved within 90 Days after Exit




Academic Outcomes Achieved within 90 Days after Exit

Academic Outcomes		Nebr	aska		United States				
Academic Outcomes	2012–13 2013–14		3-14	201	2-13	2013-14			
Earned High School Course Credits (ages 13-21)	264	12%	477	26%	34,912	17%	41,801	21%	
Obtained High School Diploma (ages 16-21)	17	1%	53	4%	1,531	1%	2,308	1%	
Enrolled in a GED Program (ages 14-21)	8	0%	7	0%	2,364	1%	2,402	1%	
Earned a GED (ages 16-21)	3	0%	0	0%	1,345	1%	950	1%	

V. Academic Performance: Reading and Mathematics

ED requires that States report the pre- and posttest performances in reading and mathematics of long-term students who were enrolled in a program for 90 consecutive calendar days or longer. All State education agencies provide data on the progress (i.e., grade-level change) that long-term students demonstrate on pre- and posttests in reading and mathematics.


Reading



Performance of Long-Term Students in Reading on Pre- and Posttests

Positing Pro. and Posttost Pata			Nebr	aska			United States					
Reading Pre- and Posttest Data	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14		2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
Long-Term Students	133		105		123		101,470		99,336		81,026	
Long-Term Students with Complete Pre-	103		92		113		43,523		39,651		37,247	
Posttest Data												
Long-Term Students who Showed Negative	27	26%	38	41%	26	23%	7,679	18%	6,876	17%	6,716	18%
Change from Pre- to Posttest	27	2070	30	7170	20	2570	',0'	1070	0,070	17 70	0,710	1070
Long-Term Students who Showed No Change	11	11%	10	11%	24	21%	7,782	18%	7,676	19%	7,181	19%
from Pre- to Posttest	11	1170	10	1170	24	2170	7,762	10 70	7,070	1970	7,101	1970
Students who Showed Improvement from	65	63%	44	48%	63	56%	28,062	64%	25,099	63%	23,350	63%
Pre- to Posttest	03	03%		4070	03	30%	20,002	U 4 70	23,099	0370	23,330	0376

 $\label{long-Term} \mbox{Long-Term Students Who Showed Improvement in Mathematics on Preand Posttests}$



Performance of Long-Term Students in Mathematics on Pre- and Posttests

Mathematics Pre- and Posttest Data			Nebr	aska		United States						
mathematics Pre- and Posttest Data	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14		2011-12		2012-13		2013-14	
Long-Term Students	133		105		123		101,470		99,336		83,578	
Long-Term Students with Complete Pre- Posttest Data	102 90		115		42,381		37,664		38,720			
Long-Term Students who Showed Negative Change from Pre- to Posttest	22	22%	24	27%	12	10%	7,350	17%	6,531	17%	6,665	17%
Students who Showed No Change from Pre- to Posttest	7	7%	10	11%	35	30%	7,980	19%	7,709	20%	7,724	20%
Long-Term Students who Showed Improvement from Pre- to Posttest	73	72%	56	62%	68	59%	27,051	64%	23,424	62%	24,331	63%

State Notes

There are no notes about Nebraska's data

Definitions and Presentation of Data

Age-eligible: This term refers to the age range of students who could reasonably be expected to achieve a given outcome. For example, the age-eligible range for earning a high school diploma or GED is 16- to 21-years old. ED uses ranges for each outcome intended to capture the majority of students served across the country, but eligibility ranges may vary from State to State.

At-Risk programs: Programs operated (through local education agencies) that target students who are at risk of academic failure, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, have limited English proficiency, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school.

Juvenile detention: A juvenile detention facility is a shorter term institution that provides care to children who require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or that provides care to children after commitment.

Juvenile corrections: A juvenile corrections institution is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, for children and youth who are delinquent. This type of facility offers care for children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or are in need of supervision.

Long-term: Students who are enrolled in a program for 90 consecutive calendar days or longer.

Neglected programs: Neglected programs offer care to children and youth who are neglected. These programs are conducted in public or private residential facilities, other than a foster home, that are operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed there under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians.

Data Sources

U.S. Department of Education, Budget Office

- Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 Allocations
 - FY 2011: See State Funding History Tables (posted November 15, 2013) at http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/history/index.html (as of May 19, 2014).
 - FY 2012: See State Funding History Tables (posted May 1, 2014) at http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/history/index.html (as of May 20, 2014).
 - FY 2013: See State Funding History Tables (posted February 13, 2015) at http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/history/index.html (as of August 20, 2015).
- Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 Allocations
 - FY 2011: http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/titlei/fy11/index.html
 - FY 2012: http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/titlei/fy12/index.html
 - FY 2013: http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/titlei/fy13/index.html

U.S. Department of Education, Data Office

CSPR for State Formula Grant Programs Under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as Amended by the
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001: 2011–12, 2012–13, and 2013–14. Data submitted by States to ED's Office of
Student Achievement and School Accountability.

Additional data summaries related to the Title I, Part D program can be found on the following Web pages:

- ED's Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) Web page
- ED's ED Data Express Web Site
- NDTAC's National and State Reports & Summaries tab

Data Presentation

For more information on Title I, Part D data context and methodology, please see the NDTAC Title I, Part D data information Web page. Some of the values that appear on the State Fast Facts pages may not fully align with the values reported in the CSPR. NDTAC provides extensive technical assistance to Title I, Part D programs and has in some instances clarified data based on information provided directly from States. Because of this and differing analytic approaches, NDTAC's Fast Facts pages may not fully align with the raw data in the data sources.



© 2015 American Institutes for Research 1000 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20007 Ph: (202) 403-5000 | Fax: (202) 403-5001 The content of this Web site does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Department of Education, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. The programs/models featured on this site have not been evaluated by NDTAC.

The site is meant to serve as a tool and to provide examples of work being done in the field. This Web site was created and is maintained by American Institutes for Research (AIR) through funding from the U.S. Department of Education, contract no. ED-ESE-10-O-0103. For more information, send an e-mail to NDTAC@air.org.